

Weak solutions of the cohomological equation on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for regular vector fields

Roberto De Leo

January 22, 2014

Math Subject Classification: Primary: 37J99, 53C12, 35F05

Keywords: Cohomological equation, linear first-order PDEs, weak solutions

Address: Dept. of Mathematics, Howard University, Washington, DC 20059 (USA) and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, sez. di Cagliari, Monserrato (Italy)

Email: roberto.deleo@howard.edu, roberto.deleo@ca.infn.it

Abstract

In a recent article [De 11], we studied the global solvability of the so-called cohomological equation $L_\xi f = g$ in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where ξ is a regular vector field on the plane and L_ξ the corresponding Lie derivative. In a joint article with T. Gramchev and A. Kirilov [DGK11], we studied the existence of global L^1_{loc} weak solutions of the cohomological equation for vector fields depending only on one coordinate. Here we generalize the results of both articles by providing explicit conditions for the existence of global weak solutions to the cohomological equation when ξ is intrinsically Hamiltonian or of finite type.

1 Introduction

The topological structure of *regular* (i.e. without zeros) vector fields ξ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ has been thoroughly investigated during the last century and is well understood (see [De 11] for detailed references). The global analytic properties of the corresponding partial differential operators L_ξ (Lie derivative in the ξ direction) are, on the contrary, much less known. Some of their most basic properties were studied in [De 11].

The main purposes of this article are to refine some of the results in [De 11], concerning the action of L_ξ on spaces of differentiable functions, and to use them to generalize to a much wider set of regular vector fields the results obtained in [DGK11], concerning weak solutions of the cohomological equation

$$L_\xi f = g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \tag{1}$$

for regular planar vector fields depending only on one variable.

2 Definitions and main results

The following definitions and notations will be used in the present article.

Vector Fields and Foliations. We will usually denote vector fields by ξ and, to avoid ambiguities, the corresponding Lie derivative operator by L_ξ . We say that a C^1 function is *regular* if its differential is never zero; analogously, we say that a vector field is regular when it has no zeros. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the set of all smooth regular vector fields on the plane. Given any $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ will denote the smooth foliation of its integral trajectories and, by abuse of notation, the space of leaves endowed with its canonical quotient smooth structure¹. We denote by $\pi_\xi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\xi$ the canonical projection that sends a point to the leaf passing through it. A *saturated* neighborhood of a leaf ℓ of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ is a set $\pi_\xi^{-1}(U)$, where U is a neighborhood of ℓ in $\mathcal{F}_\xi$. We say that two integral trajectories s_1 , s_2 of ξ are *inseparable* when they are inseparable as points in the topology of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ (e.g. see Fig. 3). An integral trajectory s which is inseparable from some other integral trajectory is said a *separatrix*. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_\xi$ the set of all separatrices of ξ .

Definition 1. *A regular planar vector field ξ (and, by extension, the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\xi$) is of finite type if the set of its separatrices is closed in $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and every separatrix is inseparable from just finitely many other integral trajectories.*

The set of vector fields of finite type is of great relevance since important categories of vector fields belong to it. For example, every regular polynomial vector field is of finite type: finite bounds for the number of separatrices of a polynomial vector field were found first by Markus [Mar72] and later improved independently by M.P. Muller [Mul76b] and S. Schecter and M.F. Singer [SS80]. It is easy to verify that also all vector fields strongly proportional to regular vector fields invariant with respect to translations in a given direction are of finite type. An important feature of vector fields of finite type is that the complement of the set of the separatrices of a vector field of finite type is the disjoint union of countably many unbounded connected open sets (named by Markus [Mar54] *canonical regions*) whose boundary has only a finite number of connected components.

We say that a smooth foliation is *Hamiltonian* if its leaves are the level sets of a regular smooth function. A vector field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is Hamiltonian when $L_\xi(dx \wedge dy) = 0$.

Definition 2. *Two smooth vector fields are strongly proportional if they are proportional through a strictly positive or strictly negative smooth function. A smooth vector field is intrinsically Hamiltonian if it is strongly proportional to a smooth Hamiltonian vector field and is transversally Hamiltonian if it is transversal to a Hamiltonian smooth vector field (equivalently, to the level sets of a regular smooth function).*

¹The spaces of leaves are often non-Hausdorff. For an introduction to non-Hausdorff smooth structures, see [HR57]

It is easily seen that a smooth regular vector field ξ is intrinsically Hamiltonian if and only if the partial differential equation $L_\xi f = 0$ admits a smooth regular solution and is transversally Hamiltonian if and only if the partial differential inequality $L_\xi f > 0$ has a smooth solution. It was proved in [Wei88] (resp. in [De 11]) that every Hamiltonian vector field (resp. every vector field of finite type) is transversally Hamiltonian.

Differential Structures. Every locally injective homeomorphism $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a differential C^∞ structure on $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by the atlas containing all charts $(U, \Phi|_U)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is any open set on which Φ is injective. We denote by $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$ the differential manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the differential structure induced by Φ . In general $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$ is different from $\mathbb{R}$, and in particular $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi) \neq C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, but $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$ is always globally diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$, e.g. because it is well-known that, in dimension smaller than four, every topological manifold admits just one differential structure modulo diffeomorphisms (see [Moi77] and [Rud01]).

Functional Spaces. Let $\mathbb{L}_0^1 = [-1, 0)$ and $\mathbb{L}_0^2 = [-1, 0] \times [-1, 1] \setminus (0, 0)$. We denote by $\mathfrak{H}^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$, $i = 1, 2$, the ring of left germs at the origin of functions in $C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$, i.e. the equivalence classes determined by the equivalence relation $h \simeq h'$ if h and h' coincide in some left neighborhood of the origin. We focus our attention of the following subrings of $\mathfrak{H}^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$: $\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$, with $r = 0, \dots, k$, containing the left germs of all functions belonging to $C^r(\mathbb{L}_0^i) \cap C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$, and $\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$, with $p \geq 1$ and $l = 0, \dots, k+3$, containing the left germs of all functions belonging to $W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^i) \cap C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$.

Definition 3. We call singular left germs at the origin the elements of the quotient rings $S\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i) = \mathfrak{H}^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)/\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$ and $S\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i) = \mathfrak{H}^k(\mathbb{L}_0^i)/\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^i)$. By abuse of notation, we denote by $S\mathfrak{G}^{k+1,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)$ the singular germs of germs of C^k functions which are C^{k+1} in the first variable.

Main objectives. We consider the partial differential operators²

$$L_\xi^{(r)} : C^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow C^{r-1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

and their weak extensions

$$L_\xi^{(l,p)} : W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow W_{loc}^{l-1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad p \geq 1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the Sobolev space of L_{loc}^p functions whose first l weak derivatives are also L_{loc}^p . We endow $C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with the Whitney topology. Our main aim is studying the images

$$L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad L_\xi(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

In other words, we study the existence of global C^r or $W_{loc}^{l,p}$ solutions of the cohomological equation when the right hand side is of class C^k (notice that, as

²We will omit the upper index in the operators L_ξ when there is no ambiguity.

easily shown via the method of characteristics, such solutions are at least C^k everywhere except, at most, on the separatrices). In case there is no regularity loss (i.e. $r = k + 1$), the problem reduces to studying the full image $L_\xi(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$.

We point out that, since clearly

$$L_\xi(C^{k+1+k'}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) = L_\xi(C^{k+1+k'}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^{k+k'}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ for all } k' \geq 1,$$

it is enough to consider, for any given k , just the cases $r = 1, \dots, k+1$. Similarly, since $W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $p > 2$ and $W^{k+2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ (e.g. see [DD12]), it is enough to consider the cases $l = 0, \dots, k+1$ for $p > 2$ and $l = 0, \dots, k+2$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Remark 1. *Note that it makes sense considering the case $l = 0$, namely $W_{loc}^{0,p} = L_{loc}^p$ solutions, because, as noted above, all solutions are always of class at least C^k outside of the separatrices and, by definition, their derivative in the direction ξ is also C^k . Namely, “ L_{loc}^p ” weak solutions of $L_\xi f = g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are actually elements of $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{S}_\xi)$.*

Example 1. *Consider the regular vector field $\xi = 2y\partial_x + (1 - y^2)\partial_y$. As a corollary of Proposition 2 in [De 11], $1 \notin L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any r . On the other side $1 \in L_\xi(L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ since, for example, $L_\xi f = 1$ for $f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left| \frac{1+y}{1-y} \right|$. Note that solutions that diverge on just one of the two separatrices can be easily obtained through the L_ξ ’s weak first-integral $h(x, y) = x + \ln|1 - y^2|$.*

Main Results. In Section 3 we refine some results in [De 11] about the local geometry of Hamiltonian and finite type regular foliations on the plane. The section’s main result, contained in Theorem 4, is that for such vector fields, locally, the problem of the extension of a solution of the cohomological equation from a saturated neighborhood of a separatrix s_1 to the saturated neighborhood of an adjacent separatrix s_2 can be always reduced to the problem of the extension, from $\mathbb{L}_0^2 \setminus \{0\} \times [0, \infty)$ to the whole $\mathbb{L}_0^2$, of a solution of $\partial_y f = g \in C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^2)$. This theorem generalizes Proposition 8 in [De 11] and fixes a minor mistake in its statement.

In Section 4 we study the images of $L_\xi^{(r)}$ and $L_\xi^{(l,p)}$. Our main results are contained in Theorem 6, where we provide explicit criteria for the solvability of the cohomological equation in the Hamiltonian case, and Theorem 8, where weaker results are provided for the finite-type non-Hamiltonian case. Moreover, in Theorem 7, we show that the solvability of the cohomological equation, in the Hamiltonian case, is stable with respect to small perturbation of the right hand side.

Finally, in Section 5, we present in some detail four concrete examples. In the first two we consider, respectively, the cases of two regular Hamiltonian and non-Hamiltonian vector fields depending only on one variable and with just a pair a separatrices and compare our results with those in [DGK11]. In the last two we consider two cases not covered by the results in [DGK11]: the case of a regular Hamiltonian vector field with just a pair a separatrices and not

invariant with respect to translations in any direction and the case of a regular Hamiltonian vector field with three separatrices inseparable from each other.

3 Geometry of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$

The geometry of the set of separatrices of a regular planar foliation can be quite non-trivial. Explicit examples of C^∞ (see [Waz34] and [Wei88]) and C^ω (see [Mul76a]) foliations of the plane with a set of separatrices dense on some open set are known in literature. In the example below, we show how to build an explicit instance, simpler and more natural than the ones mentioned above, of C^∞ foliation whose separatrices are dense on the whole plane.

Example 2. *The building blocks of the present example are the C^∞ foliation $\mathcal{S}$ of the vertical half-stripe $S = [0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$, shown in Fig. 3 (left), and the foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ in vertical lines of the whole plane.*

Denote by t the x -axis, everywhere transversal to $\mathcal{F}_0$, and by P_k the half-plane $y < k$ and select a sequence of vertical half-stripes $S_n = [\ell_n, \ell'_n] \times [n, \infty)$ such that $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. After replacing, on each S_n , the vertical foliation with a suitably rescaled version of $\mathcal{S}$, we get a C^∞ foliation of the plane $\mathcal{F}_1$ coinciding with $\mathcal{F}_0$ for $y < 1$ and with a set of separatrices dense on the open set $U = \pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(t)) \supset P_1$.

Now, let t_n be the image of the transversal γ_n in S_n , $U_n = \pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_1}(t_n))$ and let $\Phi_n = (\varphi_n, \psi_n) : U_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a rectifying diffeomorphism such that: 1. t_n has equation $\psi_n = 0$; 2. the leaves of U_n are sent to vertical lines and, in particular, s_n has equation $\varphi_n = 0$; 3. the leaves outside U are those for which $\varphi_n > 0$. With the same construction described above, we can modify this foliation in the half-plane $\varphi_n > 0$ to produce a new foliation $\mathcal{F}_2$ which is dense on the open set $U \cup \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_2}(t_n))$ and coincides with $\mathcal{F}_1$ on P_2 .

By repeating this construction recursively, we get a sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ of C^∞ regular foliations of the plane such that $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}|_{P_{n+1}} = \mathcal{F}_n|_{P_{n+1}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the closure of the set of the separatrices of $\mathcal{F}_n$ contains P_n . Hence the $\mathcal{F}_n$ converge to a smooth foliation $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ with a set of separatrices dense in the whole plane.

Remark 2. *The leaf space of $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ is a 1-dimensional, smooth non-Hausdorff smooth manifold with a dense set of binary branch points, namely such that at every branch point exactly two branches (or plumes) meet. It is easy to modify the foliation $\mathcal{S}$ in order to have, at every branch point, the concurrence of any finite number of plumes, or even infinitely (countably) many.*

Note that the foliation $\mathcal{S}$ (see Fig. 3) is Hamiltonian, so that also every $\mathcal{F}_n$, and therefore even $\mathcal{F}_\infty$, is Hamiltonian. In particular, as a corollary of a Lemma of Weiner [Wei88] stating that the first component projection $\pi : \text{Imm}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \text{Sub}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective, this shows that the topology of immersions of the plane into itself can be quite non-trivial:




Figure 1: Two C^∞ foliations $\mathcal{S}$ (left) and $\mathcal{S}'$ (right) of the strip $S = [0, 1] \times [0, \infty)$. [left] $\mathcal{S}$ is Hamiltonian and it can be obtained as the level sets of the (non-regular) C^∞ function $F(x, y) = \arctan \left[\frac{1}{\left(f\left(\frac{15-x}{10}\right)h(5) + \left(1-f\left(\frac{15-x}{10}\right)\right)h(x) + y^2 - 1 \right)^3 + \frac{1}{(y-1)^3} + \frac{1}{(y+1)^3}} \right]^2$, where $f(x)$ is any C^∞ function equal to 0 for $x < 0$, 1 for $x > 1$ and strictly increasing between 0 and 1, and $h(x) = \frac{30}{x+5}$. The black thick lines $s_1 = \{x = -1\}$ and $s_2 = \{y = 5\frac{5+x^2}{1-x^2}\}$ are inseparable in the quotient topology. The black dashed line γ is a particular choice of a globally transverse to the foliation. [right] $\mathcal{S}'$ has the same topology as $\mathcal{S}$ but it is non-Hamiltonian and it can be obtained as the level sets of the non-regular C^∞ function $F(x, y) = \arctan \left[\frac{1}{f\left(\frac{15-x}{10}\right)h(5) + \left(1-f\left(\frac{15-x}{10}\right)\right)h(x) + y^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{(y-1)^3} + \frac{1}{(y+1)^3} \right]^2$. Its two separatrices coincide with the ones of the previous foliation.

Proposition 1. *For every $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$ there exists a C^∞ immersion $\Phi_{FG} = (F, G) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the space of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ of the level sets of F is a infinite feather of order k .*

At the other end of the spectrum, if we use instead the foliation $\mathcal{S}'$ in the construction presented in Example 2, we end up with a foliation $\mathcal{F}'_\infty$ which cannot be obtained as the level set of any C^1 function. Indeed, by construction, any C^1 function giving rise to the foliation of $\mathcal{S}'$ has differential equal to 0 on $x = -1$. Since the final foliation was built in such a way that the set of separatrices on which the differential is zero is dense on the plane, any C^1 function having those lines as level sets must have its differentiable null on a dense set and therefore, by continuity, must be constant.

At this regard, it is important to notice that, for a foliation in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the lack of a smooth regular first-integral is a relative, rather than absolute, property. Indeed, as a corollary of a result of Kaplan that *every* regular foliation of the plane has a *continuous* first-integral [Kap40], we can prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Every regular C^0 foliation of the plane is a regular C^∞ Hamiltonian foliation for some suitable differential structure.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a regular planar foliation and let $\mathcal{G}$ be any foliation everywhere




Figure 2: Basic block to eliminate all leaves (except one) separating two consecutive inseparable leaves of a foliation.

transversal to it. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is not Hamiltonian, otherwise there is nothing to prove, and let F and G two continuous first-integrals of, respectively, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$. Then the map $\Phi_{FG} = (F, G) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is locally injective and, in $\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}}$, both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are C^∞ Hamiltonian. Indeed in every chart $(U, (\Phi_{FG})|_U)$, by definition, F and G are, respectively, the x and y coordinates and therefore are C^∞ and their differential is nowhere zero. Moreover, by construction, $\mathcal{F} = \{dF = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{dG = 0\}$. $\square$

Applying to this context the proof of Weiner's Lemma in [Wei88] and of Theorem 2 in [De 11], we can prove the following more general result:

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a C^0 foliation of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then, if $\mathcal{F}$ is C^r and either Hamiltonian or of finite type in $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$, it admits a transversal C^r Hamiltonian foliation in $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$.*

Now we refine some result in [De 11] on the local geometry of regular foliations.

Definition 4. *Given a pair of adjacent inseparable leaves s_1, s_2 of a foliation $\mathcal{F}$, we say that a curve γ separates them, or that γ is between s_1 and s_2 , if s_1 and s_2 belong to different connected components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \gamma$. We say that a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ transversal to $\mathcal{F}$ minimally separates $\mathcal{F}$ if there is only one leaf of $\mathcal{G}$ between every two adjacent separatrices of $\mathcal{F}$.*

We start with a technical Lemma:

Lemma 1. *Consider the foliation $\mathcal{V}$ in vertical lines of the set*

$$S = (-1, 0] \times (-2, 2) \setminus \{0\} \times [-1/2, 1/2].$$

There exists a C^∞ Hamiltonian foliation $\mathcal{T}$ of S which is everywhere transversal to $\mathcal{V}$ and minimally separates it.

Proof. We start by replacing the rectangle $R_1 = [1/2, 1/4] \times [-1, 0]$ with a suitably rescaled copy of the rectangle R shown in Fig. 3. Because of how R is foliated, after the substitution we get a foliation with the same regularity of the previous one and still transversal at every point to the vertical direction. Inside R_1 though, all leaves crossing the line $x = -1$ at $-1/2 \leq y \leq -1/4$ are rerouted so that they cross ℓ_1 at $-3/4 \leq y \leq -1/2$.

Now we repeat the procedure by replacing the rectangles $R_n = [2^{-n}, 2^{-n-1}] \times [-1, 0]$ with suitably rescaled copies of R . At the step n therefore we still have a foliation $\mathcal{G}_n$ of the same regularity of the original one and everywhere transversal to the vertical direction but this time though, among all leaves crossing $x = -1$ for $-1 \leq y \leq 0$, only those for which $-1/2^{n+1} < y \leq 0$ do not cross ℓ_1 .

In the limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we are left with a foliation $\mathcal{G}'$ such that all of its leaves crossing $x = -1$ for $-1 \leq y < 0$ do cross the line ℓ_1 . By replacing the upper half of the foliation with the symmetric of the lower part with respect to the x axis we found the foliation $\mathcal{G}$ mentioned above.

The claim is proved by repeating this procedure for all pairs of adjacent separatrices of the foliation. $\square$

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a C^0 foliation of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then there exists a C^0 transverse foliation $\mathcal{G}$ which minimally separates $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is C^r and either Hamiltonian or of finite type in some $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$, then $\mathcal{G}$ can be chosen to be C^r and Hamiltonian with respect to $\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any atlas of $\mathbb{R}^2$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is of class C^r . Then, either by Weiner's Lemma in [Wei88] (if $\mathcal{F}$ is Hamiltonian) or by Theorem 2 in [De 11] (if it is of finite type), there exists a C^r locally injective map $\Phi_{FG} = (F, G)$ (which is an immersion if $\mathcal{F}$ is Hamiltonian) that sends $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$, respectively, in vertical and horizontal lines.

By definition of inseparable leaves, for every pair of adjacent inseparable leaves $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ cut, respectively, by the transversals $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, the set $U_{12} = \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(t_1)) \cap \pi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(t_2))$ contains a saturated one-sided (left or right, in the (F, G) coordinates) neighborhood of s_1 and s_2 . Let $s_1 \cup s_2 \subset F^{-1}(a)$, $t_i = G^{-1}(b_i)$, with $b_1 < b_2$, and assume, for the sake of the argument, that $U_{12} \subset F^{-1}((-\infty, a))$. Then $U_{12} \supset R_\epsilon = (a - \epsilon, a) \times (b_1, b_2)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

By Lemma 1, we can replace the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to R_ϵ with a new foliation in such a way that the new foliation $\mathcal{G}'$ is still Hamiltonian, has the same regularity of $\mathcal{G}$ and separates minimally s_1 and s_2 . The proof is concluded by repeating this process for all pairs of adjacent separatrices of $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

The previous result allows us to state a stronger version of Proposition 8 in [De 11]. This version also fixes a minor mistake in that Proposition's claim.

Theorem 4. *Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi)$ be either Hamiltonian or locally finite and let $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi)$ be a generator of $\ker L_\xi$ and $G \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_\Phi)$ such that $L_\xi G > 0$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{dG = 0\}$ minimally separates $\mathcal{F}_\xi$. Then, for every pair of adjacent separatrices $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\xi$, with $s_1 \cup s_2 \subset F^{-1}(a)$, separated by $t \in \mathcal{G}$, with $t \subset G^{-1}(b)$, and leaves $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{G}$, with $t_i \subset G^{-1}(b_i)$, cutting respectively s_1 and s_2 ,*

set $U = \pi_\xi^{-1}(\pi_\xi(t_1)) \cup \pi_\xi^{-1}(\pi_\xi(t_2))$ and $V = \pi_\xi^{-1}(\pi_\xi(t_1)) \cap \pi_\xi^{-1}(\pi_\xi(t_2))$. The map $\Phi_{FG} = (F, G) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. the restriction of Φ_{FG} to U is a diffeomorphism onto $\Phi_{FG}(U)$;
2. the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are mapped, respectively, into vertical and horizontal lines;
3. $\Phi_{FG}(s_i) = \{a\} \times G(s_i)$, with $G(s_1) \cup G(s_2) = (b'_1, b) \cup (b, b'_2)$ for some $-\infty \leq b'_1 < b$ and $b < b'_2 \leq \infty$;
4. if $V \subset F^{-1}((-\infty, a))$ (resp. if $V \subset F^{-1}((a, \infty))$), then $\Phi_{FG}(t) = (a_1, a) \times \{b\}$ for some $-\infty \leq a_1 < a$ (resp. $\Phi_{FG}(t) = (a, a_1) \times \{b\}$ for some $a < a_1 \leq \infty$) and $(a - \epsilon, a) \times (b_1, b_2) \subset \Phi_{FG}(V)$ (resp. $(a, a + \epsilon) \times (b_1, b_2) \subset \Phi_{FG}(V)$) for some $\epsilon > 0$.

Definition 5. Under the conditions of the previous theorem, we call $\Phi_{FG} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ a normal chart for the adjacent separatrices s_1, s_2 .

4 $L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $L_\xi(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$

It is well known that local solutions to the cohomological equation (1) can be built through the method of characteristics. When $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the only obstruction to the existence of a C^r solution, $0 \leq r \leq k$, is the problem of extending a local solution across pairs of adjacent separatrices (e.g. see [De 11]). In a normal chart (see Theorem 4), this problem can always be reduced to the following:

Problem 1. Let $g \in C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^2)$ and $\varphi \in C^r(\overline{\mathbb{L}_0^1} \times \{-1\})$ and define on $\mathbb{L}_0^1 \times \{1\}$ the function $\psi(x) = \int_{-1}^1 g(x, t) dt + \varphi(x)$. Under which conditions on g the function ψ can be extended to a C^r function at $x = 0$? Similarly, assuming $\varphi \in W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^1 \times \{-1\}) \cap C^r(\mathbb{L}_0^1 \times \{-1\})$, under which conditions on g the function ψ does is also $W_{loc}^{l,p}$ at $x = 0$?

Definition 6. We denote, respectively, by $\theta_{r,k} : S\mathfrak{G}^{k,r}(\mathbb{L}_0^2) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{G}^{k,r}(\mathbb{L}_0^1)$ and $\theta_{l,p,k} : S\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2) \rightarrow S\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^1)$ the homomorphisms associating, to the left singular germ at $(0, 0)$ of a function $g \in C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^2)$, the left singular germ at 0 of the function $f(x) = \int_{-1}^1 g(x, y) dy \in C^k(\mathbb{L}_0^1)$ modulo, respectively, functions of class C^r and $W_{loc}^{l,p}$ at $x = 0$. Correspondingly, we set $\Theta_{r,k} = \ker \theta_{r,k}$ and $\Theta_{l,p,k} = \ker \theta_{l,p,k}$.

Theorem 5. The sets $\Theta_{r,k}$ and $\Theta_{l,p,k}$ satisfy the following properties for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ and $p \geq 1$:

1. $\Theta_{r,k} \subsetneq \Theta_{r-1,k}$ for all $1 \leq r \leq k$;
2. $\Theta_{k,k}$ contains the left singular germs of all y -odd C^k functions;
3. $S\mathfrak{W}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2) \subset \Theta_{l,p,k}$ for all $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;

4. $\Theta_{r,k} \subsetneq \Theta_{r,p,k}$ for all $0 \leq r \leq k$.

Proof. In [De 11] we showed that the (left singular germ of the) function

$$g(x, y) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

provides an example of an element belonging to $\Theta_{0,\infty}$ but not to $\Theta_{1,\infty}$. After integrating r times g with respect to x , we get concrete examples of elements belonging to $\Theta_{r,\infty}$ but not to $\Theta_{r+1,\infty}$, which proves point (1). Point (2) is due to the fact that in that case the integral of g in y is zero on every interval symmetric with respect to zero.

To prove (3) we notice that, if $g \in W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)$,

$$\|\psi\|_{W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^1)} \leq \|g\|_{W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} + \|\varphi\|_{W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^1)} < \infty$$

since, by hypothesis (see Problem 1), $\varphi \in W^{l,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^1)$.

Consider now again the function g used to prove point (1). Then $\partial_x g(x, y)$ provides an example of function in $\Theta_{0,1,\infty}$ but not in $\Theta_{0,\infty}$ and Point (4) is then proved by considering, more generally, $g^{1/p}$ and by integrating it with respect to x as in point (1). Similar examples can also be obtained, for example, via the function $g(x, y) = (x^2 + y^2)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, which belongs to $\Theta_{l,p,\infty}$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{l}{2}$. Note that, for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, we have also that $g \in \Theta_{0,\infty}$. $\square$

We now go back to the global solution of the cohomological equation.

The Hamiltonian case. When ξ is Hamiltonian with respect to the standard smooth structure, the projection $C^r(\mathcal{F}_\xi) \rightarrow C^r(U)$, given by the restriction of a C^r function on $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ to any open set $U \subset \mathcal{F}_\xi$, is surjective (e.g. see [HR57]), so that the regularity of ψ in Problem 1 only depends on the germ of g at $(0, 0)$.

For every pair of adjacent separatrices $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{F}_\xi$ separated by $\gamma(s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{G}$, we denote by a the common value of F at every point of the two separatrices and by b the one of G at every point of γ and define the homomorphisms $\theta_{r,k,(a,b)} = \theta_{r,k} \circ T_{(a,b)}$ and $\theta_{l,p,k,(a,b)} = \theta_{l,p,k} \circ T_{(a,b)}$, where $(T_{(a,b)}g)(x, y) = g(x - a, y - b)$.

Theorem 6. *Let ξ be a planar Hamiltonian vector field, F a generator of $\ker L_\xi$, G a transversal foliation minimally separating $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\xi'_F = \xi/L_\xi G$ the Hamiltonian vector field of F with respect to the symplectic form $dF \wedge dG$. Then, for all $k = 0, \dots, \infty$, $r = 0, \dots, k$, $l = 0, \dots, k + 3$ and $p \geq 1$:*

1. $g \in L_{\xi'_F}(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ iff $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG}) * g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{r,k}$ for all i ;
2. $g \in L_{\xi'_F}(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ iff $(\Phi_{FG}) * g$ is C^{k+1} in the first variable and $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG}) * g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^{k+1,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{k+1,k+1}$ for all i ;
3. $g \in L_{\xi'_F}(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ iff $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG}) * g]_{S\mathfrak{W}^{l,k,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{l,p,k}$ for all i .

Proof. 1. If $g \in L_{\xi_F}^{(r)}$ then there is a C^r solution f to $L_\xi f = g$. In a normal chart (x', y') of some neighborhood of any pair of adjacent separatrices, the function $\varphi(x') = \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon g(x', y') dy' : (-\delta, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ equals $f(x', \epsilon)$ modulo some function belonging to $C^r((-\delta, 0])$, i.e. $[\varphi]_{S\mathfrak{G}^r(\mathbb{L}_0^1)} = 0$. If, on the other side, $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG}) * g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^r(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_r$ for all pairs of adjacent separatrices s_{1_i}, s_{2_i} with transversals $\gamma_{1_i}, \gamma_{2_i}$, then we can define any C^r function of one of the transversals and extend the solution to the whole plane with the method of the characteristics. The condition $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG}) * g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^r(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_r$ grants that on every separatrix we can extend the solution to a C^r solution across the separatrix. The argument works similarly for point 3 *mutatis mutandis*.

About point 2, the argument is the same but we must first prove that the property that $(\Phi_{FG}) * g$ is C^{k+1} in the first variable does not depend on the particular choice of F and G . The reason for this is that every other first-integral F' of ξ only depends on F , so that any other pair (F', G') , where F' is a first-integral and G' a transversal Hamiltonian for $\mathcal{F}_\xi$, is such that $(F', G') = (F'(F), G'(F, G))$. Hence, if $(\Phi_{FG}) * g$ is C^{k+1} in the first argument for one particular choice of F and G , it is so for every other choice. $\square$

Corollary 1. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 6, let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all points (a, b) that separate pairs of adjacent separatrices of $(\Phi_{FG}) * \xi$ in $\Phi_{FG}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the following inclusions hold:*

1. $L_{\xi_F'}(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{P}))$;
2. $L_{\xi_F'}(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$;
3. $L_{\xi_F'}(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{P}))$.

Next theorem shows in particular that the solvability of the cohomological equation is stable under small perturbations of its right hand side:

Theorem 7. *Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be Hamiltonian. Then:*

1. $L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a clopen subset of $C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $r = 0, \dots, k$ and $k = 0, \dots, \infty$. In particular, $L_\xi(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2))$ is clopen in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$;
2. $L_\xi(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, is neither open or closed in $C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$;
3. $L_\xi(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a clopen subset of $C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for all $l = 0, \dots, k+1$, if $p > 2$, and for all $l = 0, \dots, k+2$, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$, for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

Proof. 1. Set $A = L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and let $g \in A$. Every positive function $\epsilon \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defines a neighborhood U_ϵ of g in the strong C^k topology as the set of all C^k functions g' such that

$$|g'(x, y) - g(x, y)| + \|D_{(x, y)}(g' - g)\| + \dots + \|D_{(x, y)}^k(g' - g)\| \leq \epsilon(x, y)$$

for every $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. If $\eta > 0$ is bounded then, in any normal chart,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \left| \int_{-\eta}^\eta \partial_x^k g(x-a, y-b) dy \right| < \infty \text{ iff } \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \left| \int_{-\eta}^\eta \partial_x^k (g(x-a, y-b) + \epsilon(x, y)) dy \right| < \infty,$$

where (a, b) are the coordinates of the point that separates the two separatrices in the normal chart. Hence, in all normal charts, $\theta_{r,k} \circ T_{(a,b)}([g]) = \theta_{r,k} \circ T_{(a,b)}([g'])$ for all $g' \in U_\epsilon$, namely $U_\epsilon \subset A$, namely A is open.

Now, let $\{g_n\}$ a sequence of elements of A converging to $g \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in the strong topology. Then, almost all the g_n coincide with g outside of some compact set and, therefore, in any normal chart,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \partial_x^k g(x, y) dy = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \partial_x^k g_n(x, y) dy,$$

i.e. $\theta_r([g]) = \theta_r([g_n])$, for almost all n , namely A is closed.

2. In case of $L_\xi(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, it is enough to observe that the property of being C^{k+1} in the first variable in every normal chart is clearly destroyed by a generic C^k small perturbation and is not preserved by C^k convergence unless $k+1 = k$, namely unless $k = \infty$.

3. The proof is the same as in point 1. The limits to the values of l are due to the fact that, for larger values, $W_{loc}^{l,p}$ functions are at least C^{k+1} and therefore their set is neither open or closed in the C^k topology. $\square$

The non-Hamiltonian case. The method we developed for Hamiltonian vector fields is much less powerful when ξ is not Hamiltonian. The main reason for this is that, in this case, the projection $C^r(\mathcal{F}_\xi) \rightarrow C^r(U)$ that sends C^r functions f to their restriction $f|_U$ to an open set $U \subset \mathcal{F}_\xi$ is not always surjective when U contains separatrices [HR57]. Hence there are constraints to the choice of the function φ of Problem 1 since, if φ does not extends to a global C^r first integral of ξ , then the extension of the solution via the method of characteristics will sooner or later diverge on some of the separatrices.

We start by assuming that ξ is of finite type and recall the following property:

Proposition 2. *If $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is not Hamiltonian, the differential of any generator of $\ker L_\xi^{(r)}$, $r \geq 1$, is zero on some of the separatrices of ξ . Similarly, the first derivative of every solution of $L_\xi^{(r)} f = g$ on some of the separatrices is determined by g modulo constants.*

Proof. Since ξ is non-Hamiltonian, then the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ has the following property: there exist two adjacent separatrices s_1 and s_2 such that, taken any two corresponding transversal segments t_1 and t_2 , parametrized by the natural parameters η_1, η_2 with respect to the Euclidean metric in such a way that $\eta_i = 0$ is the coordinate of $s_i \cap t_i$ and that both coordinates are positive for the points of t_1 and t_2 inside $\pi_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{-1}(\pi_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{-1}(t_1) \cap \pi_{\mathcal{F}_\xi}^{-1}(t_2))$, then $\eta_1(\eta_2) = \eta_2^\alpha + O(\eta_2^\beta)$, with $\beta > \alpha$ and $\alpha \neq 1$. In other words, the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ approach the two separatrices at different rates. Assume now, for the argument's sake, that $\alpha < 1$, and define a germ of a function $\varphi(\eta_1)$ on t_1 . Then, on t_2 , this function becomes $\psi(\eta_2) = \varphi(\eta_1(\eta_2)) = \varphi(\eta_2^\alpha + O(\eta_2^\beta))$, so that

$$\left. \frac{d\psi}{d\eta_2} \right|_{\eta_2} = \frac{\alpha}{\eta_2^{1-\alpha}} \left. \frac{d\varphi}{d\eta_1} \right|_{\eta_2^\alpha + O(\eta_2^\beta)} + O(\beta - 1)$$

and therefore we must have $\frac{d\varphi}{d\eta_1}\big|_{\eta_1=0} = 0$ in order to be able to extend φ to a C^1 function beyond t_2 .

Regarding the second part, through the method of characteristics we have that

$$\psi(\eta_2) = \int_0^{T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2)} [(\Phi_\xi^t)^* g](x(\eta_2), y(\eta_2)) dt + \varphi(\eta_1(\eta_2)),$$

where Φ_ξ^t is the flow of ξ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\psi}{d\eta_2}\bigg|_{\eta_2} &= \left[\frac{\alpha}{\eta_2^{1-\alpha}} \partial_1 T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2) + \partial_2 T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2) \right] \left[(\Phi_\xi^{T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2)})^* g \right] (x(\eta_2), y(\eta_2)) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{\eta_2^{1-\alpha}} \frac{d\varphi}{d\eta_1}\bigg|_{\eta_2^\alpha + O(\eta_2^\beta)} + O(\beta - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we must have

$$\frac{d\varphi}{d\eta_1}\bigg|_{\eta_1=0} + \lim_{\eta_2 \rightarrow 0} \partial_1 T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2) [(\Phi_\xi^{T(\eta_1(\eta_2), \eta_2)})^* g](x(\eta_2), y(\eta_2)) = 0$$

in order to be able to extend φ to a C^1 function beyond t_2 . $\square$

Remark 3. Note that the derivative of $\psi(\eta_2)$ is not necessarily null at $\eta_2 = 0$.

Let $F \in \ker L_\xi^{(r)}$ and let $\mathcal{G} = \{dG = 0\}$ be any Hamiltonian transversal foliation which minimally separates $\mathcal{F}_\xi$. Then $\Phi_{FG} = (F, G) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a C^r locally injective map whose rank is 1 on some of the separatrices. In order to make F and G both regular, we switch to the $\mathbb{R}_{FG}^2$ differential structure of the plane. Since both F and G are C^r , then $C^r(\mathbb{R}_{FG}^2) \subsetneq C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By repeating all steps as in the previous section, we get the following, weaker, result

Theorem 8. Let ξ be a planar vector field of finite type, F a generator of $\ker L_\xi^{(r)}$, G a C^r transversal foliation minimally separating $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\xi'_F = \xi / L_\xi G$ the Hamiltonian vector field of F with respect to the symplectic form $dF \wedge dG$. Then, for all $k = 0, \dots, \infty$, $r = 0, \dots, k$, $l = 0, \dots, k+3$ and $p \geq 1$:

1. $g \in L_{\xi'_F} (C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG})^* g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{r,k}$ for all i ;
2. $g \in L_{\xi'_F} (C^k(\mathbb{R}^2))$ if $(\Phi_{FG})^* g$ is C^{k+1} in the first variable and $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG})^* g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^{k+1,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{k+1,k+1}$ for all i ;
3. $g \in L_{\xi'_F} (W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if $[T_{(a_i, b_i)}(\Phi_{FG})^* g]_{S\mathfrak{M}^{l,k,p}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{l,p,k}$ for all i .

In this case the conditions are sufficient but not necessary because the cohomological equation can have $C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ solutions which do not belong to $C^r(\mathbb{R}_{FG}^2)$. In $\mathbb{R}_{FG}^2$, such solution look like C^r functions whose derivatives of order r diverge on some of the separatrices where $dF = 0$ (see Proposition 2). Nevertheless, Theorem 8 is enough to extend Corollary 1 to vector fields of finite type:

Corollary 2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 8, let P be the set of all points (a, b) that separate pairs of adjacent separatrices of $(\Phi_{FG})_*\xi$ in $\Phi_{FG}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the following inclusions hold:*

1. $L_{\xi'_F}(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus P));$
2. $L_{\xi'_F}(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(C^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^2));$
3. $L_{\xi'_F}(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2) \supset \Phi_{FG}^*(W_{loc}^{l,p}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus P)).$

The case when separatrices are not isolated is more pathological. We briefly discuss here only the limit case, when separatrices are dense on the plane in such a way that $C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ contains only the constant functions. For such a ξ , every $g \in L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2))$ determines uniquely, modulo constants, the solution of the cohomological equation (and, therefore, its restriction to any line). In particular, the method of characteristics in this context can be applied only to C^0 functions since, given a transversal t , a generic $\varphi \in C^r(t)$, $r \geq 1$, will lead eventually to some ineliminable divergence. In $\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}}$, though, both F and G are regular and the method of characteristic can be used to study the solvability of the cohomological equation in $C^r(\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}})$ for all values of r .

Regarding the existence of more regular solutions with respect to the standard differential structure, we recall that, as shown in Proposition 2, g determines the derivative of the restriction of the solution of the equation $L_\xi^{(r)}f = g$ on a dense set A_t of any transversal t .

Definition 7. *We say that a C^r function φ on t is ξ -compatible with g if its derivatives coincide with those induced by g , via ξ , in all points of A_t .*

We are lead therefore to the following result:

Theorem 9. *Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}_r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be such that $\dim C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi) = 1$ and for each $s_i \in \mathcal{S}_\xi$ select a transversal t_i . Then $g \in L_\xi(C^r(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ iff, for each transversal t_i , there exists a C^r function φ_i on t_i ξ -compatible with g .*

5 Examples

In this section we present four model examples.

5.1 $\xi = 2y \partial_x + (1 - y^2) \partial_y$

This vector field is Hamiltonian and invariant with respect to horizontal translations. It is easy to see that its only separatrices are the straight lines $y = \pm 1$. A regular first-integral of L_ξ is the function $F(x, y) = (y^2 - 1)e^x$ and a solution to the partial differential inequality $L_\xi G \neq 0$ is given by $G(x, y) = -2ye^x$. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{G} = \{dG = 0\}$ separates minimally $\mathcal{F}_\xi$. Note that, in this particular case, Φ_{FG} is globally injective. In the normal chart $(\mathbb{R}^2, \Phi_{FG})$,




Figure 3: [left] Foliations of the integral trajectories of $\xi = 2y\partial_x + (1 - y^2)\partial_y$ (continuous lines) and $\eta = \partial_x - y\partial_y$ (dashed lines). These are tangent, respectively, to the level sets of the regular functions $F(x, y) = (y^2 - 1)e^x$ and $G(x, y) = -2ye^x$. The leaves $y = \pm 1$ are the only pair of inseparable leaves in $\mathcal{F}_\xi$, while $\mathcal{F}_\eta \simeq \mathbb{R}$ has no inseparable leaves. Note that, since ξ is intrinsically Hamiltonian, $C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ contains regular functions. [right] Image of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ via Φ_{FG} . The leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ become vertical lines, those of $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ horizontal ones. The image, under Φ_{FG} , of the sets $|y| < 1$, $y = 1$, $y = -1$ and $y = 0$ in the left picture are respectively represented, in the right one, by the sets $(-\infty, 0) \times \mathbb{R}$, $\{0\} \times (-\infty, 0)$, $\{0\} \times (0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0) \times \{0\}$.

the point that separates the two separatrices has coordinates $(0, 0)$. A straight calculation shows that

$$\Omega_{FG} = dF \wedge dG = 2(1 + y^2)e^{2x} dx \wedge dy$$

and, correspondingly,

$$\xi'_F = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dF) = \frac{e^{-x}}{2(1 + y^2)} \xi, \quad \xi'_G = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dG) = \frac{e^{-x}}{y^2 + 1} (\partial_x - y\partial_y).$$

By Proposition 6 in [De 11], $(\Phi_{FG})_* \xi_F = \partial_{y'}$ and $(\Phi_{FG})_* \xi_G = \partial_{x'}$, where we set $(x', y') = (F, G)$, and the cohomological equation writes, in the normal chart $(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus [0, \infty) \times \{0\}, \Phi_{FG})$, as $\partial_{y'} \hat{f} = \hat{g}$.

By Theorem 6, the equation $L_{\xi'_F} f = g \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has a C^r solution, $r = 1, \dots, k$, if and only if $[(\Phi_{FG})_* g]_{S\mathfrak{G}^{r,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{r,k}$, namely if and only if the C^{k+1} function $\varphi(x') = \int_{-1}^1 \hat{g}(x', y') dy' : [-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be extended to a C^r function at $x' = 0$. The solution is, instead, $W_{loc}^{l,p}$ if and only if $[(\Phi_{FG})_* g]_{S\mathfrak{M}^{l,p,k}(\mathbb{L}_0^2)} \in \Theta_{l,p,k}$, namely iff $\varphi(x')$ has a $W^{l,p}$ singularity at $x' = 0$. Below we discuss in some detail a few concrete cases.

As shown in the proof of Theorem 5, the condition

$$|\hat{g}(x', y')| \leq C [(x')^2 + (y')^2]^{-\alpha}, \quad C > 0$$

is enough to grant the existence of C^0 solutions for $\alpha < 1/2$ and of L_{loc}^1 solutions for $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Consider, for example, the function

$$\hat{g}(x', y') = [(x')^2 + (y')^2]^{-1/4} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 0)),$$

so that

$$g(x, y) = \Phi_{FG}^* \hat{g}(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x/2}}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2).$$

Then a solution to $L_{\xi_F} g = f$ is given, in the normal chart (x', y') , by the function

$$\hat{f}(x', y') = y' \left[1 + \frac{(y')^2}{(x')^2} \right]^{\frac{3}{4}} {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{(y')^2}{(x')^2} \right),$$

where ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function, which writes as

$$f(x, y) = -ye^x \left[1 + \frac{4y^2}{(y^2 - 1)^2} \right]^{\frac{3}{4}} {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{4y^2}{(y^2 - 1)^2} \right) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

in the (x, y) coordinates.

On the other side, for

$$\hat{g}(x', y') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x')^2 + (y')^2}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0, 0)),$$

namely

$$g(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+y^2} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

we get

$$\hat{f}(x', y') = \ln \left(\sqrt{(x')^2 + (y')^2} + y' \right),$$

namely

$$f(x, y) = x + 2 \ln |1 - y| \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus S_\xi).$$

Note that the equation $L_{\xi_F} f(x, y) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+y^2}$ is equivalent to $L_\xi f(x, y) = 2$, which is why we found exactly the solution we already discussed in Example 1.

More generally, the condition

$$|\hat{g}(x', y')| \leq C [(x')^2 + (y')^2]^{-\alpha}, \quad (x', y') \in U_0, \quad C > 0$$

where U_0 is some left neighborhood of the origin, writes down, in the original coordinates (x, y) , as

$$|g(x, y)| \leq C e^{2\alpha x} [1 + y^2]^{-2\alpha}, \quad (x, y) \in S_M$$

where $S_M = (-\infty, -M) \times (-1, 1)$, for some $M > 0$. Since $1 + y^2$ is bounded and larger than 1 for every $y \in S_M$, this means that g will give rise to C^0 solutions of $L_\xi f = g$ when $|g(x, y)| \leq e^{-\alpha x}$ for $\alpha < 1/2$ and to L_{loc}^1 solutions for $\alpha < 1$.

The latter is the same condition given in [DGK11], Proposition 3.1, for the existence of L_{loc}^1 solutions to $L_{\xi_1} f = g$. This approach though shows that it is enough that this inequality be satisfied by g on some neighborhood of $x = -\infty$ within the strip $|y| < 1$ rather than on the whole plane.




Figure 4: [left] Foliations of the integral trajectories of $\xi = 2(2y - 1)\partial_x + (1 - y^2)\partial_y$ (continuous lines) and $\eta = 2\partial_x + (1 - 2y)\partial_y$ (dashed lines). These are tangent, respectively, to the level sets of the function $F(x, y) = (y + 1)^3(y - 1)e^x$, whose gradient is degenerate on $y = -1$, and of the regular function $G(x, y) = (2y - 1)e^x$. The leaf spaces $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ have the same topology as the corresponding ones in Fig. 3 but this time in $C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ there are no regular functions, since the derivative of every C^1 function must be zero on the leaf $y = -1$. [right] Image of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ via Φ_{FG} . The action of Φ_{FG} is identical to the one described in Fig. 3, but this time its differential on $y = -1$ is zero, i.e. Φ_{FG} is not an immersion.

5.2 $\xi = 2(2y - 1)\partial_x + (1 - y^2)\partial_y$

This vector field has the same separatrices as the previous one but it is non-Hamiltonian. A smooth generator of $\ker L_\xi$ is given by $F(x, y) = (y+1)^3(y-1)e^x$ and a regular Hamiltonian function for a transverse foliation that minimally separates $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ is given by $G(x, y) = (2y - 1)e^x$. In this case

$$\Omega_{FG} = dF \wedge dG = 2(1 + y)^2(2 - 4y + 3y^2)e^{2x}dx \wedge dy$$

is degenerate on the separatrix $y = -1$. Correspondingly,

$$\xi'_F = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dF) = \frac{e^{-x}}{2(2 - 4y + 3y^2)}\xi$$

is regular, while

$$\xi'_G = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dG) = \frac{e^{-x}}{2(1 + y)^2(2 - 4y + 3y^2)}(2\partial_x + (1 - 2y)\partial_y) .$$

diverges on $y = -1$. Similarly, Φ_{FG} maps, like in the previous example, the whole plane injectively into $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus [0, \infty) \times \{0\}$, but this time Φ_{FG} is not an immersion since its differential is zero on the separatrix $y = -1$.

When we restrict Φ_{FG} to the set $\{|y| < 1\}$, the local coordinates $(x', y') = (F, G)$ are well-defined and smooth we can repeat verbatim all calculation for the explicit solutions shown in the previous example. This corresponds to switching differential structure in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and looking for solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}}$. We recall that,

since ξ is of finite type, $C^k(\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}}) \subset C^k(\mathbb{R}^2)$; in fact, $C^k(\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}})$ is the set of all C^k functions that go to zero as $(y+1)^3$ in a neighborhood of $y = -1$.

Unlike the Hamiltonian case though, now the solvability conditions based on the germs of g in a neighborhood of the separatrices are only sufficient. New solutions, not covered by the theorems in [DGK11], can be found by letting $\hat{g}$, in the normal chart coordinates, diverge on the separatrices, as long as $\Phi_{FG}^* \hat{g}$ has the required differentiability. Consider, for example, the case of

$$\hat{g}(x', y') = {}^3\sqrt{x'} \left[y' - \sqrt{(x')^2 + (y')^2} \right]^2$$

This function behaves as $4 {}^3\sqrt{x'} (y')^2$, of class C^0 , in a neighborhood of the separatrix $\{0\} \times (0, \infty)$, which is the image of $y = -1$, and more regularly, as $4 {}^3\sqrt{(x')^{13}} (y')^{-2}$, of class C^4 , in a neighborhood of the separatrix $\{0\} \times (-\infty, 0)$, which is the image of $y = 1$. In $\mathbb{R}^2_{\Phi_{FG}}$, therefore, $\hat{g}$ is of class C^0 and so it gives rise to a globally C^0 solution

$$\hat{f}(x', y') = {}^3\sqrt{x'} \left[(x')^2 y' + \frac{2}{3} (y')^3 - \frac{2}{3} ((x')^2 + (y')^2)^{3/2} \right]$$

In $\mathbb{R}^2$, instead, the solution is more regular:

$$\left(\Phi_{FG}^* \hat{f} \right) (x, y) = (1+y)(1-y)^{1/3} \left[F^2 G + \frac{2}{3} G^3 + \frac{2}{3} (F^2 + G^2)^{3/2} \right] e^{x/3}$$

behaves as $(y-1)^{13/3} e^{4x/3}$ close to $y = 1$, i.e. of class C^4 , and is smooth close to $y = -1$, so we have a globally C^4 solution.

5.3 $\xi = 2x^2 y \partial_x - \partial_y$

This vector field and the next one are not invariant with respect to any translation and therefore are not covered by the theorems in [DGK11]. A direct calculation shows that $L_\xi F(x, y) = 0$ for the regular function

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \tan^{-1} \left[y^2 - \frac{1}{x} \right] & , x < 0; \\ \pi/2 & , x = 0; \\ \tan^{-1} \left[y^2 - \frac{1}{x} \right] + \pi & , x > 0, \end{cases}$$

namely $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ is Hamiltonian. The set $F^{-1}(c)$ has two connected components for $c \geq \pi$ and only one for $c < \pi$, so the only inseparable integral trajectories of ξ are the two connected components of $F^{-1}(\pi)$, namely the curves $y = \pm 1/\sqrt{x}$ (see Fig. 5, left). Since the y component of ξ is always non-zero, $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ is everywhere transversal to the foliation in horizontal straight lines and it is minimally separated by it. In particular, $L_\xi G(x, y) > 0$ for $G(x, y) = y$ (see Fig. 5). In this case

$$\Omega_{FG} = dF \wedge dG = \frac{dx \wedge dy}{(1 - xy^2)^2 + x^2}.$$




Figure 5: [left] Foliations of the integral trajectories of $\xi = 2x^2y\partial_x - \partial_y$ (continuous lines) and $\eta = \partial_x$ (dashed lines). These are tangent, respectively, to the level sets of the regular functions $F(x, y) = y^2 - e^{-x}$ and $G(x, y) = y$. The leaves $y^2 = e^x$ are the only pair of inseparable leaves in $\mathcal{F}_\xi$, while $\mathcal{F}_\eta \simeq \mathbb{R}$ has no inseparable leaves. Note that, since ξ is intrinsically Hamiltonian, $C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ contains regular functions. [right] Image of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ via Φ_{FG} . The leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ become vertical lines, those of $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ horizontal ones. The images of the two separatrices are the sets $\{\pi\} \times (-\infty, 0)$ and $\{\pi\} \times (0, \infty)$, the image of the line $y = 0$ is the set $(0, \pi) \times \{0\}$.

and we get

$$\xi'_F = -((1 - xy^2)^2 + x^2)\xi, \quad \xi'_G = ((1 - xy^2)^2 + x^2)\partial_x.$$

The image of the plane via the map Φ_{FG} is the set (see Fig. 5, right)

$$\tan^{-1}(y')^2 < x' < \tan^{-1}(y')^2 + \pi.$$

All explicit calculations shown in the first example can be repeated here. For example, this time the condition

$$|\hat{g}(x', y')| \leq C [(x')^2 + (y')^2]^{-\alpha}, \quad (x', y') \in U_0,$$

for the existence of regular and weak solutions of the cohomological equation translates, in (x, y) coordinates, into

$$|g(x, y)| \leq Cx^{2\alpha}, \quad x \in S_M,$$

where in this case S_M , $M > 0$, is the portion of the set $y^2 - 1/x < 0$ contained in the half-plane $x > M$. The corresponding condition for solutions of $L_\xi f = g$ is

$$|g(x, y)| \leq C \frac{x^{2\alpha}}{(1 - xy^2)^2 + x^2} \leq C' x^{2(\alpha-1)}, \quad x \in S_M,$$

namely $L_\xi f = g$ admits C^0 solutions when $|g(x, y)| \leq Cx^{-1-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and L^1_{loc} solutions when $|g(x, y)| \leq Cx^{-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.




Figure 6: [left] Foliations of the integral trajectories of $\xi = \frac{3(1+e^x y^2)^2 - e^x(6-19e^x+22e^x y^2)}{3+2e^x(5+3y^2)+3e^{2x}(1-y^2)^2} \partial_x + \partial_y$ (continuous lines) and $\eta = \partial_x$ (dashed lines). These are tangent, respectively, to the level sets of the regular functions $F(x, y) = (1 - (y-2)^2 - e^{-x})(1 - y^2 - e^{-x})(1 - (y+2)^2 - e^{-x})$ and $G(x, y) = y$. The three leaves $(y \pm 2)^2 = 1 - e^{-x}$ and $y^2 = 1 - e^{-x}$ are the only separatrices of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and are all inseparable from each other, while $\mathcal{F}_\eta \simeq \mathbb{R}$ has no inseparable leaves. Note that, since ξ is intrinsically Hamiltonian, $C^1(\mathcal{F}_\xi)$ contains regular functions. [right] Image of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ and $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ via Φ_{FG} . The leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\xi$ become vertical lines, those of $\mathcal{F}_\eta$ horizontal ones. The image, under Φ_{FG} , of the three separatrices are the sets $\{0\} \times (3, 1)$, $\{0\} \times (1, -1)$ and $\{0\} \times (-1, -3)$; the images of the lines $y = \pm 1$ are the sets $(-\infty, 0) \times \{1\}$ and $(-\infty, 0) \times \{-1\}$.

$$5.4 \quad \xi = \frac{3(1+e^x y^2)^2 - e^x(6-19e^x+22e^x y^2)}{3+2e^x(5+3y^2)+3e^{2x}(1-y^2)^2} \partial_x + \partial_y$$

Also this last vector field gives rise to a Hamiltonian foliation. For example, a generator of $\ker L_\xi^{(\infty)}$ is given by the smooth regular function

$$F(x, y) = (1 - (y-2)^2 - e^{-x})(1 - y^2 - e^{-x})(1 - (y+2)^2 - e^{-x}).$$

Using the Descartes' rule of signs it is easy to verify that, for $c > 0$, the level sets $F^{-1}(c)$ are connected while, for $c < 0$, each level set consists of three disjoint lines. The three curves in the level set $F^{-1}(0)$ are therefore inseparable from each other. Since the y component of ξ is always different from 0, ξ is transversal to every horizontal line and it is easily seen that the foliation in horizontal lines minimally separates it (see Fig. 6). In particular, $L_\xi G = 1 > 0$ for $G(x, y) = y$. The image of the plane via Φ_{FG} is the set

$$x' < (1 - (y' - 2)^2)(1 - (y')^2)(1 - (y' + 2)^2)$$

(see Fig. 6, right).

In this case,

$$\Omega_{FG} = dF \wedge dG = dx \wedge dy,$$

so that

$$\xi_F = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dF) = \xi, \quad \xi_G = \Omega_{FG}^{-1}(dG) = \partial_x$$

The condition for the existence of solutions of $L_\xi f = g$

$$|\hat{g}(x', y')| \leq C [(x')^2 + (y')^2]^{-\alpha}, \quad (x', y') \in U_{\pm 1},$$

where U_1 and U_{-1} are left neighborhoods of, respectively, $(0, 1)$ and $(0, -1)$, translates now, in (x, y) coordinates, into

$$|g(x, y)| \leq Ce^{3\alpha x}, \quad (x, y) \in S_{M, \pm 1},$$

where in this case $S_{M, 1}$ (resp. $S_{M, 2}$), $M > 0$, is the portion of the set $F(x, y) < 0$ contained in the half-plane $x > M$. Hence, for example, $L_\xi f = g$ admits C^0 solutions if $|g(x, y)| \leq Ce^{(3/2-\epsilon)x}$, $(x, y) \in S_{M, \pm 1}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and L_{loc}^1 solutions if $|g(x, y)| \leq Ce^{(3-\epsilon)x}$, $(x, y) \in S_{M, \pm 1}$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.

By modifying suitably this particular $F(x, y)$, it is easy to obtain examples of intrinsically Hamiltonian and intrinsically non-Hamiltonian regular vector fields whose foliation has a single node at which concur any number of inseparable separatrices and which is minimally separated by the horizontal foliation.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to T. Gramchev for precious suggestions that made the present article richer and more interesting.

References

- [DD12] F. Demengel and G. Demengel, *Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations*, Springer, 2012.
- [De 11] R. De Leo, *On the cohomological equation on $\mathbb{R}^2$ for regular vector fields*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry **39:3** (2011), 231–248.
- [DGK11] R. De Leo, T. Gramchev, and A. Kirilov, *Global solvability in functional spaces for smooth nonsingular vector fields in the plane*, Pseudo-Differential Operators: Analysis, Applications and Computations (L. Rodino, M.W. Wong, and H. Zhu, eds.), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 214, Springer, 2011.
- [HR57] A. Haefliger and G. Reeb, *Variétés (non séparées) à une dimension et structures feuilletées du plan*, Enseignement Math. **3** (1957), 107–125.
- [Kap40] W. Kaplan, *Regular curve-families filling the plane*, Duke Math. J. **7** (1940), no. 1, 154–185.
- [Mar54] L. Markus, *Global structure of ordinary differential equations on the plane*, Trans. of the AMS **76:1** (1954), 127–148.
- [Mar72] ———, *Topological types of polynomial differential equations*, Trans. of the AMS **171** (1972), 157–178.

- [Moi77] Edwin E. Moise, *Geometric topology in dimensions 2 and 3*, GTM, vol. 47, Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [Mul76a] M.P. Muller, *An analytic foliation of the plane without weak first integral of class C^1* , Bol. Soc. Mex. **21** (1976), 1–5.
- [Mul76b] ———, *Quelques propriétés des feuilletages polynomiaux du plan*, Bol. Soc. Mex. **21** (1976), 6–14.
- [Rud01] Y.B. Rudyak, *Piecewise linear structures on topological manifolds*, arXiv math.AT/0105047.
- [SS80] S. Schecter and M.F. Singer, *Planar polynomial foliations*, Proc. of the AMS **79** (1980), no. 4, 649–656.
- [Waz34] T. Wazewski, *Sur un problème de caractère integral relatif a l'equation $dz/dx + q(x, y)dz/dy = 0$* , Mathematica Cluj **8** (1934), 103–116.
- [Wei88] J.L. Weiner, *First integrals for a direction field on a simply connected plane domain*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **132** (1988), no. 1, 195–208.